

Climate Catastrophe is an Ideal Weapon to direct People's Lives!

Article posted on LinkedIn on 24 September:

"This article is not intended to mock Carolina Cosse for saying that covid was caused by climate change. But it is true that few things better illustrate the problem we have with this challenge than when a politician like Cosse manipulates the problem either to justify the clogged sewers or to gain 10 minutes at the UN.

The issue of climate change is suffering from the worst possible scourge. A partisanship that reaches the point of ridicule, a blatant trivialization on the part of leaders and "experts" who have always desired to have greater control over the behavior of individuals. And they have discovered that the fear of a climate catastrophe is an ideal weapon to direct what people eat, how they live, how they transport themselves, and even how they think.

The fear of a climate catastrophe is an ideal weapon to direct what people eat, how they live and even how they think.

To the point that anyone who dares to say "but" in the face of some dark prediction becomes a "denier," a word that had been healthily banished from public debate since the time of the Enlightenment. And curiously, or not so curiously, today it is wielded by many journalists, intellectuals, and in general socialist leaders, to annul everyone who does not get on their ghost train.

So, let's get healthy. Climate change exists, in fact the climate has always been changing. And it is quite reasonable to accept that human activity is having a harmful effect on the environmental balances on the planet. Furthermore, given a widespread consensus among most scientists that if we do not do something, the changes will negatively affect human life on Earth, the political system must act.

So far, everyone agrees. The dilemma is what measures to take, and the fair assessment of the risk.

That's where the problems begin, since while the experts sheltered under the umbrella of the UN make apocalyptic predictions, and encourage the harshest measures of social control, there are others who temper the panic.

For example, a recent study by Danish author Bjorn Lomborg analyzes the real costs of the policies sought to impose the Paris Agreement on climate change, which if fully implemented would cost about \$2 trillion by 2030. This alone would reduce emissions. 1% of what is necessary to limit the average increase in global temperature to 1.5°C. "Every dollar spent in Paris will produce climate benefits worth 11¢," says Lomborg, who believes

that it is much more coherent to invest that money in science and technology to accelerate the energy transformation, as well as in mitigation.

But the second serious issue is the use of fear that many politicians are doing to achieve an end that they have always sought: directing people's lives down to the smallest detail.

You should not travel by car, much less by plane. Eat meat? Heresy! Tourism is a criminal act, the same as having children. And they even want to convince us that the gases from our cows are more dangerous than the mega factories of China. Worst? When they say that “we must save the planet.” Gentlemen, for the planet we are a speck of dust! It existed thousands of years before we appeared, and it will continue when we are fossils. And we couldn't care less, as he reveals every so often with an earthquake that kills thousands. The ones who have to save ourselves are us.

This is where covid comes in. There is a disastrous consensus among many technicians and politicians that the end justifies the means. And that it is worth manipulating figures, making exaggerated predictions, to “generate awareness.” Disregarding people's intelligence and promoting the pendulum effect.

The reality is that since Al Gore's cuckoos, most of the most terrible omens have never come true. And, according to serious experts, current tragedies, from the flood in Libya to the drought in Uruguay, cannot be linked to climate change.

But as happened with Covid, every day more politicians are beginning to realize that denying the problem pays off, in a society tired of being manipulated out of panic. If you have any questions, check out what Milei says in the interview with Tucker Carlson on the subject. In fact, watch the interview and that's it. Because even though Tucker is one of the most repellent guys in the American media world, he is a very clear example of the harmful counterwave that these crusades, based on scares, are generating.

There is another revealing study. Conducted by researchers Cort Rudolph and Hannes Zacher, it shows that the more a person knows about meteorology, the environment, and climate science, the less anxiety they feel about climate change. The study begins with a quote from B. Russel, who said: “The degree of one's emotions varies inversely with one's knowledge of the facts. The less you know, the hotter you get”.

*** ***

Overview by Carlos Mazal - Senior Consultant on Sustainable Development, Governance and Management of Ocean Resources and technological innovation

[Martín Aguirre](#) is [#Editor](#) of one of [#Uruguay](#)'s main newspapers. [#ElPais](#).

His [#commonsense](#) is acute, and he uses it to describe a reality that most prefer to hide. [#CCandpoliticians](#) are a [#badmix](#). And that is bad news for everyone. It is amazing and

upsetting the calculated use for political purposes. Even absurd things like leftist presidential candidate [#CarolinaCosse](#)'s claim that CC is to blame for floods in the city she manages when the drainage system is completely clogged. Or blaming CC for the pandemic or for anything she cannot justify her [#poormanagement](#).

But this is now a worldwide excuse to avoid doing things right due to negligence or laziness. It will take another 100 years to see the doomsday predictions, apocalyptic forecasts of the [#Gore](#) and [#Guterres](#) of the world, the [#IPCC](#) scientists who feel that because they are "[#humanbeingswithfeelings](#)" they can twist the facts and avoid using the [#scientificmethod](#), as I was told by them in three CCs. But in 77 years we will have made our last check out or, if you prefer, moved on. Nobody will be held accountable for their lies.

[#PaulEhrlich](#) and his wife come to mind with their best seller "[#ThePopulationBomb](#)" published in 1960. 63 years ago. People went crazy as well as with [#Orwell](#)'s radio broadcast "[#WaroftheWorlds](#)." 85 years ago. One was a pun, the other, a "[#serious](#)" scientist whose ego and "human beings with feelings" condition led them in the same direction of the IPCC and the politicians of today. [#JustblameCC](#).